

Effectiveness of Training Primary Care Physicians in Physical Activity Counselling

Proposal for a Cluster Randomized Controlled trial

Dr méd. Raphaël Bize, MPH

Policlinique Médicale Universitaire et Institut Universitaire de
Médecine Sociale et Préventive, Lausanne (Prof. J. Cornuz)
Unité Mouvement et Santé, HEFSM, Macolin (Dr B. Martin)

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force

- The USPSTF found insufficient evidence to determine whether PA counseling in primary care settings leads to sustained increases in PA among adult patients
 - variable (low) quality
 - mixed results

Limitations of previous studies

- About half were not RCTs
- Contamination between arms was frequent
- F-UP rates were often not mentioned or low (40-60%)
- Reported outcomes were often derived from post-hoc analysis
- PA levels were not consistently defined
- PA level was almost always self-reported

Objectives

- To investigate whether patients of physicians who are trained in PA counseling increase their PA level more than patients of physicians who received a control training session
- To assess in both arms of the study the content of the intervention by interviewing recruited patients

Methods

- Cluster randomised controlled trial
 - Unit of Randomization = physicians (and their practice)
 - Remotely generated randomization
 - Stratification by age and gender
 - Unit of Analysis = patients
- Study population (two-level)
 - Swiss family physicians
 - Their sedentary patients

Methods (continued)

- Inclusion criteria for patients
 - Age 15-69
 - < 30 minutes of moderate physical activity per week
 - Confirmed by monitoring of daytime physical activity during one week with an electro-mechanical monitor
- Exclusion criteria for patients
 - Debilitating medical condition
 - Known unstable cardiac condition
 - Not able to understand French/German
 - Expected to leave the region

Methods (continued)

- Recruitment of patients:
 - Consecutive, during 1½ week within each practice
 - Physicians see an average of 100 patients a week and about 1/7 approached patients should be eligible and willing to participate
 - Control and Intervention practices will be screened in parallel to control for a potential seasonal effect on physical activity level

Description of the intervention

- Physicians allocated to the physical activity intervention will follow a 2-4 hours multi-component training curriculum (+/- e-learning) enclosing:
 - Theory on health-enhancing PA
 - Theory on the TTM
 - Skills for motivational interviewing
 - Role playing
 - Use of booklets, and prescription forms
 - Use of referral to a physical activity counselor
 - Suggestions for organizational optimisation

Exposure assessment

- Attendance of physicians to the training sessions will be documented
- Extent and adequacy of counselling will be assessed by interviewing patients with the help of a check-list
 - Score of compliance = the mean number of items that have been addressed by each physician among their patients

Outcome assessment

- Total weekly energy (TWEE) expenditure will be assessed with an electro-mechanical device
- When compared with the « Gold Standard » of portable metabolic measurements, data obtained with this method have demonstrated promising criterion validity
- Participants could be blinded to its motion sensing function to limit the potential for a « Hawthorne » effect

Statistical analysis

- Difference in mean TWEE will be compared using:
 - A general linear regression model
 - Adjustment for a limited set of other pre-defined covariates (e.g. baseline differences in PA, academic affiliation [yes/no], solo-practice [yes/no])
 - An additional term to model the random-effect due to clustering of data within practices
- Intention-to-treat analysis
- Sensitivity analysis will be used to handle missing data

Sample size calculation

- $n_1 = n_2 = 640$ (2x32 clusters of 20 patients)
- Assumptions
 - $\alpha = 0.05$
 - Power = 0.80
 - Mean TWEE interv@1yr = 247 (42) kcal/kg/wk [Elley 2003]
 - Mean TWEE control@1yr = 236 (45) kcal/kg/wk [Elley 2003]
 - $n_2/n_1 = 1.00$
 - Mean cluster size $m = 20$
 - ICC = 0.05 [Elley 2005]
 - Attrition rate = 0.25

Strengths

- Use of an objective measure of PA
 - Smaller risk of reporting bias
- Partial blinding of participants should reduce the risk of co-intervention
- Contamination risk is limited by the cluster design
- Equal contact condition is respected by using a control training session
- Good external validity and sustainability

Limitations

- The primary outcome is rather distal
 - A dilution of the effect may occur along the chain of events
- The study may be underpowered to detect small differences in secondary outcomes (BMI, BP, HRQL)

Timeline, budget, and dissemination

- Pilot phase: 2007
- Ethics board submission: Sept 2007
- Recruitment of physicians and patients: 2008
- Follow-up: 2009
- Data analysis and report: March 2010
- Budget: Need further elaboration
- Intended dissemination:
 - peer-reviewed publication
 - meeting presentations
 - developements in the field of CME